

DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 in WIMP effective models


SUNGHYUN KANG

IN COLLABORATION WITH S. SCOPEL, G. TOMAR, J.H. YOON

SOGANG UNIVERSITY



Introduction

- Many evidences of Dark Matter
- CDM(Cold Dark Matter)
- Neutrino
- WIMP
(Weakly Interacting Massive Particle)




["Content of the Universe - Pie Chart"](#)
Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe.
National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
Retrieved 9 January 2018.

DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 analyses



Energy	S_m (cpd/kg/keV)	Energy	S_m (cpd/kg/keV)
(1.0–1.5) keV	(0.0232±0.0052)	(6.5–7.0) keV	(0.0016±0.0018)
(1.5–2.0) keV	(0.0164±0.0043)	(7.0–7.5) keV	(0.0007±0.0018)
(2.0–2.5) keV	(0.0178±0.0028)	(7.5–8.0) keV	(0.0016±0.0018)
(2.5–3.0) keV	(0.0190±0.0029)	(8.0–8.5) keV	(0.0014±0.0018)
(3.0–3.5) keV	(0.0178±0.0028)	(8.5–9.0) keV	(0.0029±0.0018)
(3.5–4.0) keV	(0.0109±0.0025)	(9.0–9.5) keV	(0.0014±0.0018)
(4.0–4.5) keV	(0.0110±0.0022)	(9.5–10.0) keV	-(0.0029±0.0019)
(4.5–5.0) keV	(0.0040±0.0020)	(10.0–10.5) keV	(0.0035±0.0019)
(5.0–5.5) keV	(0.0065±0.0020)	(10.5–11.0) keV	-(0.0038±0.0019)
(5.5–6.0) keV	(0.0066±0.0019)	(11.0–11.5) keV	-(0.0013±0.0019)
(6.0–6.5) keV	(0.0009±0.0018)	(11.5–12.0) keV	-(0.0019±0.0019)



DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 analyses

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\tau=0,1} \sum_{j=1}^{15} c_j^\tau \mathcal{O}_j(\mathbf{r}) t^\tau,$$

τ : isospin

j : interaction type

$$(v_T^\perp)^2 = v_T^2 - v_{min}^2.$$

$$v_{min}^2 = \frac{q^2}{4\mu_T^2} = \frac{m_T E_R}{2\mu_T^2},$$

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = 1_\chi 1_N; \quad \mathcal{O}_2 = (v^\perp)^2; \quad \mathcal{O}_3 = i\vec{S}_N \cdot (\frac{\vec{q}}{m_N} \times \vec{v}^\perp)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_4 = \vec{S}_\chi \cdot \vec{S}_N; \quad \mathcal{O}_5 = i\vec{S}_\chi \cdot (\frac{\vec{q}}{m_N} \times \vec{v}^\perp); \quad \mathcal{O}_6 = (\vec{S}_\chi \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N})(\vec{S}_N \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N})$$

$$\mathcal{O}_7 = \vec{S}_N \cdot \vec{v}^\perp; \quad \mathcal{O}_8 = \vec{S}_\chi \cdot \vec{v}^\perp; \quad \mathcal{O}_9 = i\vec{S}_\chi \cdot (\vec{S}_N \times \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N})$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{10} = i\vec{S}_N \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}; \quad \mathcal{O}_{11} = i\vec{S}_\chi \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}; \quad \mathcal{O}_{12} = \vec{S}_\chi \cdot (\vec{S}_N \times \vec{v}^\perp)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{13} = i(\vec{S}_\chi \cdot \vec{v}^\perp)(\vec{S}_N \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}); \quad \mathcal{O}_{14} = i(\vec{S}_\chi \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N})(\vec{S}_N \cdot \vec{v}^\perp)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{15} = -(\vec{S}_\chi \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N})((\vec{S}_N \times \vec{v}^\perp) \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}),$$

DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 analyses

$$\frac{dR}{dE'} \propto \sum_{\tau\tau'} \sum_l R_l^{\tau\tau'} W_l^{\tau\tau'},$$

$R_l^{\tau\tau'}$: WIMP response function

$W_l^{\tau\tau'}$: nucleus response function: M, Σ' , Σ'' , Δ , ϕ'' , ϕ' (M=SI, , Σ' , Σ'' =SD)

(from Nikhil Anand, A. Liam Fitzpatrick, W. C. Haxton, Model-independent WIMP Scattering Responses and Event Rates : A Mathematica Package for Experimental Analysis)

$$R_{[E'_1, E'_2]}(t) = MT_{exp} \int_{E'_1}^{E'_2} \frac{dR}{dE'}(t) dE'$$

$$\mathcal{G}(E', E_{ee}) = Gauss(E'|E_{ee}, \sigma_{rms}(E_{ee}))$$

$$\frac{dR}{dE'}(t) = \sum_T \int_0^\infty \frac{dR_{\chi T}(t)}{dE_{ee}} \mathcal{G}_T(E', E_{ee}) \epsilon(E') dE_{ee}$$


$$= \frac{1}{\sigma_{rms}(E_{ee})\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(E'-E_{ee})^2}{2\sigma_{rms}^2(E_{ee})}},$$

$$E_{ee} = q(E_R)E_R,$$

$$S_{m,[E'_1, E'_2]} \equiv \frac{2}{T_0} \int_0^{T_0} \cos \left[\frac{2\pi}{T_0} (t - t_0) \right] R_{[E'_1, E'_2]}(t) dt,$$

$$\chi^2(m_\chi, \sigma_p, r) = \sum_{k=1}^{14} \frac{\left[S_{m,k} - S_{m,k}^{exp}(m_\chi, \sigma_p, r) \right]^2}{\sigma_k^2}$$

DAMA/LIBRA-phase2




$$\chi^2(m_\chi, \sigma_p, r) = \sum_{k=1}^{14} \frac{\left[S_{m,k} - S_{m,k}^{exp}(m_\chi, \sigma_p, r) \right]^2}{\sigma_k^2}$$

c_j	$m_{\chi, \min}$ (GeV)	$r_{\chi, \min}$	σ (cm 2)	χ^2_{\min}
c_1	11.17	-0.76	2.67e-38	11.38
	45.19	-0.66	1.60e-39	13.22
c_3	8.10	-3.14	2.27e-31	11.1
	35.68	-1.10	9.27e-35	14.23
c_4	11.22	1.71	2.95e-36	11.38
	44.71	-8.34	5.96e-36	27.7
c_5	8.34	-0.61	1.62e-29	10.83
	96.13	-5.74	3.63e-34	11.11
c_6	8.09	-7.20	5.05e-28	11.11
	32.9	-6.48	5.18e-31	12.74
c_7	13.41	-4.32	4.75e-30	13.94
	49.24	-0.65	1.35e-30	38.09
c_8	9.27	-0.84	8.67e-33	10.82
	42.33	-0.96	1.30e-34	11.6
c_9	9.3	4.36	8.29e-33	10.69
	37.51	-0.94	1.07e-33	15.23
c_{10}	9.29	3.25	4.74e-33	10.69
	36.81	0.09	2.25e-34	12.40
c_{11}	9.27	-0.67	1.15e-34	10.69
	38.51	-0.66	9.17e-37	13.02
c_{12}	9.26	-2.85	3.92e-34	10.69
	35.22	-1.93	2.40e-35	12.47
c_{13}	8.65	-0.26	1.21e-26	10.76
	29.42	0.10	5.88e-29	14.28
c_{14}	10.28	-0.59	2.61e-26	11.21
	38.88	-1.93	2.19e-27	14.48
c_{15}	7.32	-3.58	2.04e-27	12.91
	33.28	4.25	2.05e-33	16.26

Considering one EFT coupling at a time

DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 analyses



$$\chi^2(m_\chi, \sigma_p, r) = \sum_{k=1}^{14} \frac{\left[S_{m,k} - S_{m,k}^{exp}(m_\chi, \sigma_p, r) \right]^2}{\sigma_k^2}$$

$$S_{m,[E'_1, E'_2]} \equiv \frac{2}{T_0} \int_0^{T_0} \cos \left[\frac{2\pi}{T_0} (t - t_0) \right] R_{[E'_1, E'_2]}(t) dt,$$



$$R_{[E'_1, E'_2]}(t) = M T_{exp} \int_{E'_1}^{E'_2} \frac{dR}{dE'}(t) dE'$$

$$\frac{dR}{dE'}(t) = \sum_T \int_0^\infty \frac{dR_{\chi T}(t)}{dE_{ee}} \mathcal{G}_T(E', E_{ee}) \epsilon(E') dE_{ee}$$

$$E_{ee} = q(E_R) E_R,$$

$$\frac{dR_{\chi T}}{dE_R}(t) = \sum_T N_T \frac{\rho_{\text{WIMP}}}{m_{\text{WIMP}}} \int_{v_{min}} d^3 v_T f(\vec{v}_T, t) v_T \frac{d\sigma_T}{dE_R},$$


DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 analyses



$$\sigma_{\chi N} \propto [c^p Z + (A - Z)c^n]^2 ,$$

DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 analyses


coupling	$R_{0k}^{\tau\tau'}$	$R_{1k}^{\tau\tau'}$	coupling	$R_{0k}^{\tau\tau'}$	$R_{1k}^{\tau\tau'}$
1	$M(q^0)$	-	3	$\Phi''(q^4)$	$\Sigma'(q^2)$
4	$\Sigma''(q^0), \Sigma'(q^0)$	-	5	$\Delta(q^4)$	$M(q^2)$
6	$\Sigma''(q^4)$	-	7	-	$\Sigma'(q^0)$
8	$\Delta(q^2)$	$M(q^0)$	9	$\Sigma'(q^2)$	-
10	$\Sigma''(q^2)$	-	11	$M(q^2)$	-
12	$\Phi''(q^2), \tilde{\Phi}'(q^2)$	$\Sigma''(q^0), \Sigma'(q^0)$	13	$\tilde{\Phi}'(q^4)$	$\Sigma''(q^2)$
14	-	$\Sigma'(q^2)$	15	$\Phi''(q^6)$	$\Sigma'(q^4)$



$$\sigma_{\chi N} \propto [c^p Z + (A - Z)c^n]^2 ,$$


DAMA/LIBRA-phase2

coupling	$R_{0k}^{\tau\tau'}$	$R_{1k}^{\tau\tau'}$	coupling	$R_{0k}^{\tau\tau'}$	$R_{1k}^{\tau\tau'}$
1	$M(q^0)$	-	3	$\Phi''(q^4)$	$\Sigma'(q^2)$
4	$\Sigma''(q^0), \Sigma'(q^0)$	-	5	$\Delta(q^4)$	$M(q^2)$
6	$\Sigma''(q^4)$	-	7	-	$\Sigma'(q^0)$
8	$\Delta(q^2)$	$M(q^0)$	9	$\Sigma'(q^2)$	-
10	$\Sigma''(q^2)$	-	11	$M(q^2)$	-
12	$\Phi''(q^2), \tilde{\Phi}'(q^2)$	$\Sigma''(q^0), \Sigma'(q^0)$	13	$\tilde{\Phi}'(q^4)$	$\Sigma''(q^2)$
14	-	$\Sigma'(q^2)$	15	$\Phi''(q^6)$	$\Sigma'(q^4)$



DAMA/LIBRA-phase2

coupling	$R_{0k}^{\tau\tau'}$	$R_{1k}^{\tau\tau'}$	coupling	$R_{0k}^{\tau\tau'}$	$R_{1k}^{\tau\tau'}$
1	$M(q^0)$	-	3	$\Phi''(q^4)$	$\Sigma'(q^2)$
4	$\Sigma''(q^0), \Sigma'(q^0)$	-	5	$\Delta(q^4)$	$M(q^2)$
6	$\Sigma''(q^4)$	-	7	-	$\Sigma'(q^0)$
8	$\Delta(q^2)$	$M(q^0)$	9	$\Sigma'(q^2)$	-
10	$\Sigma''(q^2)$	-	11	$M(q^2)$	-
12	$\Phi''(q^2), \tilde{\Phi}'(q^2)$	$\Sigma''(q^0), \Sigma'(q^0)$	13	$\tilde{\Phi}'(q^4)$	$\Sigma''(q^2)$
14	-	$\Sigma'(q^2)$	15	$\Phi''(q^6)$	$\Sigma'(q^4)$



Anapole Dark Matter(ADM)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{g}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \chi \partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu},$$

$$H_{\chi N} = \frac{2eg}{\Lambda^2} \vec{S}_\chi \cdot \left(e_N \vec{v}_{\chi N}^\perp + i \frac{(g_N/2)}{m_N} \vec{q} \times \vec{S}_N \right).$$



$$H_{\chi N} = \sum_{\tau=0,1} (c_8^\tau \mathcal{O}_8 + c_9^\tau \mathcal{O}_9) t^\tau,$$

Electric, velocity dependent part

$$\frac{d\sigma_T}{dE_R} = \sigma_{\text{ref}} \frac{m_T}{m_{\chi N}^2} \frac{v_{\min}^2}{v^2} \left[Z_T^2 \left(\frac{v^2}{v_{\min}^2} - 1 \right) F_{E,T}^2(q^2) + 2\mu_T^2 \frac{m_{\chi T}^2}{m_N^2} \left(\frac{J_T + 1}{3J_T} \right) F_{M,T}^2(q^2) \right].$$



Magnetic, velocity independent part

Anapole Dark Matter(ADM)



Haxton(blue dot-dash) vs
experimental form factor(purple)

Anapole Dark Matter(ADM)




Anapole Dark Matter(ADM)

$$\eta(v_{\min}, t) = \int_{v_{\min}}^{\infty} dv \frac{f(v, t)}{v},$$


$$\tilde{\eta}(v_{\min}, t) = \frac{\rho_{\chi}}{m_{\chi}} \sigma_{\text{ref}} \eta(v_{\min}, t),$$

$$\bar{\tilde{\eta}}^i_{[v_{\min,1}, v_{\min,2}]} = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} dv_{\min} \tilde{\eta}^i(v_{\min}) \mathcal{R}_{[E'_1, E'_2]}(v_{\min})}{\int_0^{\infty} dv_{\min} \mathcal{R}_{[E'_1, E'_2]}(v_{\min})}.$$



Halo independent case

pSIDM



$$m_{\chi'} - m_\chi = \delta$$

Inelastic case : $\delta \neq 0$


$$v_{min} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m_N E_R}} \left| \frac{m_N E_R}{\mu_{\chi N}} + \delta \right|,$$

$$v_{min}^* = \sqrt{\frac{2\delta}{\mu_{\chi N}}},$$


pSIDM

- How pSIDM evades constraints
- Xe, Ge
 - : spin-dependent WIMP-proton cross section(no interaction with neutron)
- F : $\nu_{min}^{*F} > \nu_{esc}$
- DAMA signal is okay since $\nu_{min}^{*Na}, \nu_{min}^{*I} < \nu_{esc}$

pSIDM



Maxwellian velocity distribution



Halo independent case

Conclusions

- non-relativistic effective models can fit the DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 data with less fine tuning compared to a standard SI interaction
 - all model excluded by fluorine&xenon detectors
- Anapole Dark Matter could explain DAMA/LIBRA-phase1 in compliance with constraints from other experiments. It is now excluded after DAMA/LIBRA-phase2.
- pSIDM could explain DAMA/LIBRA-phase1 in compliance with constraints from other experiments. Still OK after DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 if WIMP velocity distribution departs from a Maxwellian.