A new uniform lower bound on Weil-Petersson distance

4

Yunhui Wu

Tsinghua University

Teichmüller theory and related topics

KIAS August 18, 2020 Let S_g be a closed surface of genus g ($g \ge 2$).

 $\mathfrak{T}_g = \mathfrak{T}(S_g)$ is the Teichmüller space of S_g .

$$\mathcal{M}_g = \mathcal{M}(S_g)$$
 is the moduli space of S_g .

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト・ラ

Let $p \in S_g$ be fixed and any $X \in T_g$. The *injectivity radius* $Inj_X(p)$ of X at p is *half* of the length of a shortest nontrivial closed geodesic loop based at p.

.

Let $p \in S_g$ be fixed and any $X \in T_g$. The *injectivity radius* $\text{Inj}_X(p)$ of X at p is *half* of the length of a shortest nontrivial closed geodesic loop based at p.

Let

$$\sigma: [0, 2 \operatorname{Inj}_X(p)] \to X$$

be such a shortest geodesic loop with $\sigma(0) = \sigma(2 \ln j_X(p)) = p$ of arc-length parameter. Then

- 1. the restriction $\sigma : [0, Inj_X(p)] \to X$ is a minimizing geodesic;
- 2. the restriction $\sigma : [Inj_X(p), 2 Inj_X(p)] \to X$ is also a minimizing geodesic.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Let $p \in S_g$ be fixed and any $X \in T_g$. The *injectivity radius* $\text{Inj}_X(p)$ of X at p is *half* of the length of a shortest nontrivial closed geodesic loop based at p.

Let

$$\sigma: [0, 2 \operatorname{Inj}_X(p)] \to X$$

be such a shortest geodesic loop with $\sigma(0) = \sigma(2 \ln j_X(p)) = p$ of arc-length parameter. Then

- 1. the restriction $\sigma : [0, Inj_X(p)] \to X$ is a minimizing geodesic;
- 2. the restriction $\sigma : [Inj_X(p), 2 Inj_X(p)] \to X$ is also a minimizing geodesic.

The map $\operatorname{Inj}_{(\cdot)}(p): \mathfrak{T}_g \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ is continuous.

• (1) • (

For any $X \in \mathfrak{T}_g$, we let $\ell_{sys}(X)$, called the *systole* of X, denote the length of shortest closed geodesics in X. The systole function

$$\ell_{\mathit{sys}}(\cdot): \mathbb{T}_g o \mathbb{R}^+$$

is continuous, but not smooth because of corners where $\ell_{sys}(\cdot)$ may be achieved by multi closed geodesics.

For any $X \in \mathfrak{T}_g$, we let $\ell_{sys}(X)$, called the *systole* of X, denote the length of shortest closed geodesics in X. The systole function

$$\ell_{\mathit{sys}}(\cdot): \mathfrak{T}_g o \mathbb{R}^+$$

is continuous, but not smooth because of corners where $\ell_{sys}(\cdot)$ may be achieved by multi closed geodesics. It is easy to see that

1.
$$\ell_{sys}(X) = 2 \min_{p \in X} \operatorname{Inj}_X(p)$$
.

For any $X \in \mathfrak{T}_g$, we let $\ell_{sys}(X)$, called the *systole* of X, denote the length of shortest closed geodesics in X. The systole function

$$\ell_{\mathit{sys}}(\cdot): \mathfrak{T}_g o \mathbb{R}^+$$

is continuous, but not smooth because of corners where $\ell_{sys}(\cdot)$ may be achieved by multi closed geodesics. It is easy to see that

1.
$$\ell_{sys}(X) = 2 \min_{p \in X} \operatorname{Inj}_X(p)$$
.

2.
$$\ell_{sys}(X) \leq 2 \ln j_X(p) \leq 2 \ln (4g-2).$$

For any $X \in \mathfrak{T}_g$, we let $\ell_{sys}(X)$, called the *systole* of X, denote the length of shortest closed geodesics in X. The systole function

$$\ell_{sys}(\cdot): \mathfrak{T}_g
ightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

is continuous, but not smooth because of corners where $\ell_{sys}(\cdot)$ may be achieved by multi closed geodesics. It is easy to see that

1.
$$\ell_{sys}(X) = 2 \min_{p \in X} \operatorname{Inj}_X(p)$$
.

2. $\ell_{sys}(X) \le 2 \ln j_X(p) \le 2 \ln (4g - 2).$

Theorem (Buser-Sarnak 1994)

There exists a universal constant U > 0, independent of g, such that for all $g \ge 2$,

$$\sup_{X\in \mathfrak{T}_g} \ell_{sys}(X) \geq U \ln g.$$

伺下 イヨト イヨト

For any essential closed curve $\alpha \subset S_g$ and $X \in \mathfrak{T}_g$, there exists a unique closed geodesic $[\alpha]$ in X representing α . The geodesic length function of α

$$\ell_{lpha}(\cdot): \mathfrak{T}_{g}
ightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$$

is defined as

 $\ell_{\alpha}(X) := \ell_{[\alpha]}(X).$

向下 イヨト イヨト

For any essential closed curve $\alpha \subset S_g$ and $X \in \mathfrak{T}_g$, there exists a unique closed geodesic $[\alpha]$ in X representing α . The geodesic length function of α

$$\ell_{lpha}(\cdot): \mathfrak{T}_{g}
ightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$$

is defined as

$$\ell_{\alpha}(X) := \ell_{[\alpha]}(X).$$

The geodesic length function $\ell_{\alpha}(\cdot)$ is real-analytic on \mathcal{T}_{g} .

Gradient of geodesic length function

Let $X \in \mathfrak{T}_g$ and $\alpha \subset X$ be a simple closed geodesic. One may lift α onto the imaginary axis in \mathbb{H} and denote by $A : z \to e^{\ell_{\alpha}(X)} \cdot z$ its deck transformation on \mathbb{H} .

Gradient of geodesic length function

Let $X \in \mathfrak{T}_g$ and $\alpha \subset X$ be a simple closed geodesic. One may lift α onto the imaginary axis in \mathbb{H} and denote by $A : z \to e^{\ell_{\alpha}(X)} \cdot z$ its deck transformation on \mathbb{H} .

(Gardiner 1975) The Weil-Petersson gradient $\nabla \ell_{\alpha}(X)$ of the geodesic length function $\ell_{\alpha}(\cdot)$ at X can be expressed as

$$abla \ell_lpha(X)(z) = rac{2}{\pi} \sum_{E \in \langle \mathcal{A}
angle \setminus \Gamma} rac{\overline{E}'(z)^2}{\overline{E}(z)^2
ho(z)} rac{d\overline{z}}{dz} \in T_X \mathfrak{T}_g$$

where $\langle A \rangle$ is the cyclic group generated by A, Γ is the Fuchsian group of X and $\rho(z)|dz|^2 = \frac{|dz|^2}{Im(z)^2}$ is the hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{H} .

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Gradient of geodesic length function

Let $X \in \mathfrak{T}_g$ and $\alpha \subset X$ be a simple closed geodesic. One may lift α onto the imaginary axis in \mathbb{H} and denote by $A : z \to e^{\ell_{\alpha}(X)} \cdot z$ its deck transformation on \mathbb{H} .

(Gardiner 1975) The Weil-Petersson gradient $\nabla \ell_{\alpha}(X)$ of the geodesic length function $\ell_{\alpha}(\cdot)$ at X can be expressed as

$$abla \ell_lpha(X)(z) = rac{2}{\pi} \sum_{E \in \langle \mathcal{A}
angle \setminus \Gamma} rac{\overline{E}'(z)^2}{\overline{E}(z)^2
ho(z)} rac{d\overline{z}}{dz} \in T_X \mathfrak{T}_g$$

where $\langle A \rangle$ is the cyclic group generated by A, Γ is the Fuchsian group of X and $\rho(z)|dz|^2 = \frac{|dz|^2}{Im(z)^2}$ is the hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{H} .

(Labourie-Wentworth 2018) Generalized formula at the Fuchsian locus of Hitchin representations.

Now we always assume that both \mathbb{T}_g and \mathcal{M}_g are endowed with the Weil-Petersson metric.

- 同下 - 三下 - 三下

Now we always assume that both \mathbb{T}_g and \mathbb{M}_g are endowed with the Weil-Petersson metric.

1. (Alhfors 1961) The space \mathcal{T}_g is Kähler.

向下 イヨト イヨト

Now we always assume that both \mathbb{T}_g and \mathcal{M}_g are endowed with the Weil-Petersson metric.

1. (Alhfors 1961) The space \mathcal{T}_g is Kähler.

2. (Chu 1976, Wolpert 1975) The space T_g is incomplete.

1 E N 1 E N

Now we always assume that both \mathbb{T}_g and \mathcal{M}_g are endowed with the Weil-Petersson metric.

- 1. (Alhfors 1961) The space \mathcal{T}_g is Kähler.
- 2. (Chu 1976, Wolpert 1975) The space \mathcal{T}_g is incomplete.
- 3. (Wolpert 1987) The space T_g is geodesically convex.

Theorem (W. 2020) Fix a point $p \in S_g$ ($g \ge 2$). Then for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{T}_g$,

$$\left|\sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_X(p)} - \sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_Y(p)}\right| \le 0.3884 \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X, Y)$$

where $dist_{wp}$ is the Weil-Petersson distance.

Theorem (W. 2020) Fix a point $p \in S_g$ ($g \ge 2$). Then for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{T}_g$,

$$\left|\sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_X(p)} - \sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_Y(p)}\right| \le 0.3884 \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X, Y)$$

where $dist_{wp}$ is the Weil-Petersson distance.

Remark (*Rupflin-Topping 2018*) With the notations above,

$$\left| \sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_X(p)} - \sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_Y(p)} \right| \le c(g) \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X, Y)$$

where c(g) > 0 is a constant depending on g.

Corollary For any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{T}_g \ (g \ge 2)$,

$$\left|\sqrt{\ell_{\mathit{sys}}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{\mathit{sys}}(Y)}
ight| \leq 0.5492\, {
m dist}_{\mathit{wp}}(X,Y).$$

・日・・ ヨ・・ モ・

臣

Corollary For any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{T}_g \ (g \ge 2)$,

$$\left|\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(Y)}\right| \le 0.5492 \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X, Y).$$

Proof.

Without loss of generality, one may assume that $\ell_{sys}(X) \ge \ell_{sys}(Y)$.

.

Corollary For any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{T}_g \ (g \ge 2)$,

$$\left|\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(Y)}\right| \leq 0.5492 \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X, Y).$$

Proof.

Without loss of generality, one may assume that $\ell_{sys}(X) \ge \ell_{sys}(Y)$. Let $\alpha \subset Y$ be a shortest closed geodesic.

.

Corollary For any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{T}_g \ (g \ge 2)$,

$$\left|\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(Y)}\right| \leq 0.5492 \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X,Y)$$

Proof.

Without loss of generality, one may assume that $\ell_{sys}(X) \ge \ell_{sys}(Y)$. Let $\alpha \subset Y$ be a shortest closed geodesic. So for any $p \in \alpha$, we have $2 \operatorname{Inj}_Y(p) = \ell_{sys}(Y)$ and $2 \operatorname{Inj}_X(p) \ge \ell_{sys}(X)$.

Corollary For any $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_g$ $(g \ge 2)$,

$$\left|\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(Y)}\right| \leq 0.5492 \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X, Y).$$

Proof.

Without loss of generality, one may assume that $\ell_{sys}(X) \ge \ell_{sys}(Y)$. Let $\alpha \subset Y$ be a shortest closed geodesic. So for any $p \in \alpha$, we have $2 \operatorname{Inj}_Y(p) = \ell_{sys}(Y)$ and $2 \operatorname{Inj}_X(p) \ge \ell_{sys}(X)$. Then by the Theorem above we get

$$\sqrt{\ell_{\mathsf{sys}}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{\mathsf{sys}}(Y)} \le \sqrt{2 \operatorname{Inj}_X(p)} - \sqrt{2 \operatorname{Inj}_Y(p)}$$

Corollary For any $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_g$ $(g \ge 2)$,

$$\left|\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(Y)}\right| \leq 0.5492 \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X, Y).$$

Proof.

Without loss of generality, one may assume that $\ell_{sys}(X) \ge \ell_{sys}(Y)$. Let $\alpha \subset Y$ be a shortest closed geodesic. So for any $p \in \alpha$, we have $2 \operatorname{Inj}_Y(p) = \ell_{sys}(Y)$ and $2 \operatorname{Inj}_X(p) \ge \ell_{sys}(X)$. Then by the Theorem above we get

$$\begin{split} &\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(Y)} \leq \sqrt{2 \operatorname{Inj}_X(p)} - \sqrt{2 \operatorname{Inj}_Y(p)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \times 0.3884 \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X,Y) = 0.5492 \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X,Y). \end{split}$$

Corollary For any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{T}_g \ (g \ge 2)$,

$$\left|\sqrt{\ell_{\mathit{sys}}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{\mathit{sys}}(Y)}\right| \leq 0.5492 \operatorname{dist}_{\mathit{wp}}(X,Y).$$

・日・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

臣

Corollary For any $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_g \ (g \ge 2)$,

$$\left|\sqrt{\ell_{\mathit{sys}}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{\mathit{sys}}(Y)}\right| \leq 0.5492 \operatorname{dist}_{\mathit{wp}}(X,Y).$$

Remark

1. (W. 2016, 2019) $\left|\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(Y)}\right| \le K \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X, Y)$ where K > 0 is a uniform constant independent of g.

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Corollary For any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{T}_g \ (g \ge 2)$,

$$\left|\sqrt{\ell_{\textit{sys}}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{\textit{sys}}(Y)}\right| \leq 0.5492 \operatorname{dist}_{\textit{wp}}(X,Y).$$

Remark

- 1. (W. 2016, 2019) $\left|\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(Y)}\right| \le K \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X, Y)$ where K > 0 is a uniform constant independent of g.
- 2. (Bridgeman-Bromberg 2020) $\left|\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(Y)}\right| \leq \frac{\operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X,Y)}{2}.$

A > < > > < > > -

We say

$f_1(g) \prec f_2(g)$ or $f_2(g) \succ f_1(g)$

if there exists a universal constant C > 0, independent of g, such that

$$f_1(g) \leq C \cdot f_2(g).$$

And we say

 $f_1(g) \asymp f_2(g)$

if $f_1(g) \prec f_2(g)$ and $f_2(g) \prec f_1(g)$.

The Weil-Petersson inradius $InRad(\mathcal{M}_g)$ of \mathcal{M}_g is

$$\mathsf{InRad}(\mathfrak{M}_g) := \sup_{X \in \mathfrak{M}_g} \mathsf{dist}_{wp}(X, \partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_g)$$

where $\partial \overline{\mathbb{M}}_g$ is the boundary of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_g$ consisting of nodal surfaces.

(A) (E) (A) (E) (A)

The Weil-Petersson inradius $InRad(\mathcal{M}_g)$ of \mathcal{M}_g is

$$\mathsf{InRad}(\mathfrak{M}_g) := \sup_{X \in \mathfrak{M}_g} \mathsf{dist}_{wp}(X, \partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_g)$$

where $\partial \overline{\mathbb{M}}_g$ is the boundary of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_g$ consisting of nodal surfaces.

Theorem (W. 2016) For $g \ge 2$, $\ln \operatorname{Rad}(\mathcal{M}_g) \asymp \sqrt{\ln g}$.

• • = • • = •

Outline of proof

Upper bound: it follows by the following two properties.

(1). For any $X_g \in \mathfrak{M}_g$,

 $\ell_{sys}(X_g) \leq 2\ln{(4g-2)}.$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Э

Outline of proof

Upper bound: it follows by the following two properties.

(1). For any
$$X_g \in \mathfrak{M}_g$$
,

$$\ell_{sys}(X_g) \leq 2\ln(4g-2).$$

(2). (Wolpert 2008) For any $X_g \in \mathcal{M}_g$, ${
m dist}_{wp}(X_g,\mathcal{M}_{lpha}) \leq \sqrt{2\pi\ell_{lpha}(X_g)}$

where \mathcal{M}_{α} is the stratum of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ whose pinching curve is α .

Outline of proof

Upper bound: it follows by the following two properties.

(1). For any
$$X_g \in \mathcal{M}_g$$
, $\ell_{sys}(X_g) \leq 2 \ln{(4g-2)}.$

(2). (Wolpert 2008) For any
$$X_g\in \mathfrak{M}_g$$
, $\operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X_g,\mathfrak{M}_lpha)\leq \sqrt{2\pi\ell_lpha(X_g)}$

where \mathfrak{M}_{α} is the stratum of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g}$ whose pinching curve is α . By

choosing $\alpha \subset X_g$ to be a systolic curve,

$$\mathsf{InRad}(\mathfrak{M}_g) \leq \sup_{X_g \in \mathfrak{M}_g} \mathsf{dist}_{wp}(X_g, \mathfrak{M}_\alpha) \prec \sqrt{\mathsf{In}\,g}.$$

周 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト 二 ヨ

Lower bound: let $\mathfrak{X}_g \in \mathfrak{M}_g$ be a Buser-Sarnak surface, i.e.,

 $\ell_{sys}(\mathfrak{X}_g) \asymp \ln g.$

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Э
Lower bound: let $\mathfrak{X}_g \in \mathfrak{M}_g$ be a Buser-Sarnak surface, i.e.,

 $\ell_{sys}(\mathfrak{X}_g) \asymp \ln g.$

Recall that

$$|\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(Y)}| \prec \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X, Y)$$

which implies that

$$\sqrt{\ln g} \prec \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(\mathfrak{X}_g, \partial \mathfrak{M}_g) \leq \operatorname{InRad}(\mathfrak{M}_g).$$

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

э

does
$$\lim_{g\to\infty} \frac{\ln \operatorname{Rad}(\mathcal{M}_g)}{\sqrt{\ln g}}$$
 exist?

Ð,

does
$$\lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{\ln \operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{M}_g)}{\sqrt{\ln g}}$$
 exist?

Set

$$sys(g) = \max_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} \ell_{sys}(X).$$

It is known that

$$sys(g) \asymp \ln g \text{ and } \ln \text{Rad}(\mathcal{M}_g) \asymp \sqrt{sys(g)}.$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

э

does
$$\lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{\ln \operatorname{Rad}(\mathcal{M}_g)}{\sqrt{\ln g}}$$
 exist?

Set

$$sys(g) = \max_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} \ell_{sys}(X).$$

It is known that

$$sys(g) \asymp \ln g \text{ and } \ln \text{Rad}(\mathcal{M}_g) \asymp \sqrt{sys(g)}.$$

By applying a slightly refined argument in (W. 2016), Theorem (W. 2020)

$$\lim_{g\to\infty}\frac{\ln \operatorname{Rad}(\mathcal{M}_g)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{sys}(g)}}=\sqrt{2\pi}.$$

伺下 イヨト イヨト

does
$$\lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{\ln \operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{M}_g)}{\sqrt{\ln g}}$$
 exist?

Set

$$sys(g) = \max_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} \ell_{sys}(X).$$

It is known that

$$sys(g) \asymp \ln g \text{ and } \ln \text{Rad}(\mathcal{M}_g) \asymp \sqrt{sys(g)}.$$

By applying a slightly refined argument in (W. 2016), Theorem (W. 2020)

$$\lim_{g\to\infty}\frac{\ln \operatorname{Rad}(\mathcal{M}_g)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{sys}(g)}}=\sqrt{2\pi}.$$

Remark

This result above is firstly obtained by Bridgeman-Bromberg in 2020. The ideas of both proofs are similar, but the estimations are different.

Outline of proof

As introduced above, we know that

$$\mathsf{InRad}(\mathfrak{M}_g) \leq \sqrt{2\pi \cdot \mathsf{sys}(g)}$$

implying

$$\limsup_{g \to \infty} \frac{\ln \mathsf{Rad}(\mathfrak{M}_g)}{\sqrt{\mathsf{sys}(g)}} \leq \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

Outline of proof

As introduced above, we know that

$$\mathsf{InRad}(\mathfrak{M}_g) \leq \sqrt{2\pi \cdot sys(g)}$$

implying

$$\limsup_{g\to\infty}\frac{\mathsf{lnRad}(\mathfrak{M}_g)}{\sqrt{\mathsf{sys}(g)}}\leq\sqrt{2\pi}.$$

It suffices to show the lower bound:

$$\liminf_{g\to\infty}\frac{\ln \operatorname{Rad}(\mathcal{M}_g)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{sys}(g)}}\geq \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

(A) (E) (A) (E) (A)

Outline of proof

As introduced above, we know that

$$\mathsf{InRad}(\mathfrak{M}_g) \leq \sqrt{2\pi \cdot sys(g)}$$

implying

$$\limsup_{g\to\infty}\frac{\ln {\sf Rad}({\mathfrak M}_g)}{\sqrt{{\sf sys}(g)}}\leq \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

It suffices to show the lower bound:

$$\liminf_{g\to\infty}\frac{\ln\mathsf{Rad}(\mathcal{M}_g)}{\sqrt{\mathsf{sys}(g)}}\geq\sqrt{2\pi}.$$

Step-1: Show that the systole function

$$\ell_{sys}(\cdot): \mathfrak{T}_g \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$$

is piecewise smooth along Weil-Petersson geodesics,

Step-2: A formula of Riera in 2005 says that

$$\langle \nabla \ell_{\alpha}, \nabla \ell_{\alpha} \rangle_{wp}(X) = \frac{2}{\pi} (\ell_{\alpha}(X) + \sum_{C \in \{\langle A \rangle \setminus \Gamma / \langle A \rangle - id\}} (u \ln \frac{u+1}{u-1} - 2))$$

where $u = \cosh(\operatorname{dist}_{\mathbb{H}}(\tilde{\alpha}, C \circ \tilde{\alpha}))$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ is an axis in \mathbb{H} for α .

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

э

Step-2: A formula of Riera in 2005 says that

$$\langle \nabla \ell_{\alpha}, \nabla \ell_{\alpha} \rangle_{wp}(X) = \frac{2}{\pi} (\ell_{\alpha}(X) + \sum_{C \in \{\langle A \rangle \setminus \Gamma / \langle A \rangle - id\}} (u \ln \frac{u+1}{u-1} - 2))$$

where $u = \cosh(\operatorname{dist}_{\mathbb{H}}(\tilde{\alpha}, C \circ \tilde{\alpha}))$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ is an axis in \mathbb{H} for α .

If we choose $\alpha \subset X$ with $\ell_{\alpha}(X) = \ell_{sys}(X)$, by using *two-dimensional hyperbolic geometry* we show that

Proposition

Let $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$ with $\ell_{sys}(X) \ge 8$. Then for any curve $\alpha \subset X$ with $\ell_{\alpha}(X) = \ell_{sys}(X)$ there exists a uniform constant C > 0 independent of g such that

$$rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \leq ||
abla \ell_lpha^rac{1}{2}(X)||_{wp} \leq rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sqrt{\left(1+\mathit{Ce}^{-rac{\ell_{sys}(X)}{8}}
ight)}.$$

向下 イヨト イヨト

Step-2: A formula of Riera in 2005 says that

$$\langle \nabla \ell_{\alpha}, \nabla \ell_{\alpha} \rangle_{wp}(X) = \frac{2}{\pi} (\ell_{\alpha}(X) + \sum_{C \in \{\langle A \rangle \setminus \Gamma / \langle A \rangle - id\}} (u \ln \frac{u+1}{u-1} - 2))$$

where $u = \cosh(\operatorname{dist}_{\mathbb{H}}(\tilde{\alpha}, C \circ \tilde{\alpha}))$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ is an axis in \mathbb{H} for α .

If we choose $\alpha \subset X$ with $\ell_{\alpha}(X) = \ell_{sys}(X)$, by using *two-dimensional hyperbolic geometry* we show that

Proposition

Let $X \in M_g$ with $\ell_{sys}(X) \ge 8$. Then for any curve $\alpha \subset X$ with $\ell_{\alpha}(X) = \ell_{sys}(X)$ there exists a uniform constant C > 0 independent of g such that

$$rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \leq ||
abla \ell_lpha^rac{1}{2}(X)||_{wp} \leq rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sqrt{\left(1+ Ce^{-rac{\ell_{sys}(X)}{8}}
ight)}.$$

In particular, $||\nabla \ell_{sys}^{\frac{1}{2}}(X)||_{wp} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ as $\ell_{sys}(X) \to \infty$.

$$\inf_{0 \le t \le r_g} \ell_{sys}(\gamma(t)) = \ell_{sys}(\gamma(r_g)) = \sqrt{sys(g)}.$$

$$\inf_{0 \le t \le r_g} \ell_{sys}(\gamma(t)) = \ell_{sys}(\gamma(r_g)) = \sqrt{sys(g)}.$$

Then,

$$|\sqrt{sys(g)} - \sqrt{\sqrt{sys(g)}}| = |\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(\gamma(r_g))}|$$

$$\inf_{0 \le t \le r_g} \ell_{sys}(\gamma(t)) = \ell_{sys}(\gamma(r_g)) = \sqrt{sys(g)}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} |\sqrt{sys(g)} - \sqrt{\sqrt{sys(g)}}| &= |\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(\gamma(r_g))}| \\ &= |\int_0^{r_g} \langle \nabla \ell_{sys}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t) \rangle_{wp} dt| \end{split}$$

$$\inf_{0 \le t \le r_g} \ell_{sys}(\gamma(t)) = \ell_{sys}(\gamma(r_g)) = \sqrt{sys(g)}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\sqrt{sys(g)} - \sqrt{\sqrt{sys(g)}}| &= |\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(\gamma(r_g))}| \\ &= |\int_0^{r_g} \langle \nabla \ell_{sys}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t) \rangle_{wp} dt| \le \int_0^{r_g} ||\nabla \ell_{sys}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma(t))||_{wp} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\inf_{0 \le t \le r_g} \ell_{sys}(\gamma(t)) = \ell_{sys}(\gamma(r_g)) = \sqrt{sys(g)}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} |\sqrt{sys(g)} - \sqrt{\sqrt{sys(g)}}| &= |\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(\gamma(r_g))}| \\ = |\int_0^{r_g} \langle \nabla \ell_{sys}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t) \rangle_{wp} dt | \leq \int_0^{r_g} ||\nabla \ell_{sys}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma(t))||_{wp} dt \\ \sim r_g \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \end{split}$$

$$\inf_{0 \le t \le r_g} \ell_{sys}(\gamma(t)) = \ell_{sys}(\gamma(r_g)) = \sqrt{sys(g)}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} |\sqrt{sys(g)} - \sqrt{\sqrt{sys(g)}}| &= |\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(\gamma(r_g))}| \\ = &|\int_0^{r_g} \langle \nabla \ell_{sys}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t) \rangle_{wp} dt| \leq \int_0^{r_g} ||\nabla \ell_{sys}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma(t))||_{wp} dt \\ \sim &r_g \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \leq \frac{\ln \operatorname{Rad}(\mathcal{M}_g)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}. \end{split}$$

$$\inf_{0 \le t \le r_g} \ell_{sys}(\gamma(t)) = \ell_{sys}(\gamma(r_g)) = \sqrt{sys(g)}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} |\sqrt{sys(g)} - \sqrt{\sqrt{sys(g)}}| &= |\sqrt{\ell_{sys}(X)} - \sqrt{\ell_{sys}(\gamma(r_g))}| \\ = &|\int_0^{r_g} \langle \nabla \ell_{sys}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t) \rangle_{wp} dt| \leq \int_0^{r_g} ||\nabla \ell_{sys}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma(t))||_{wp} dt \\ &\sim r_g \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \leq \frac{\ln \operatorname{Rad}(\mathcal{M}_g)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\liminf_{g\to\infty}\frac{\mathsf{lnRad}(\mathcal{M}_g)}{\sqrt{\mathsf{sys}(g)}}\geq\sqrt{2\pi}.$$

The Weil-Petersson diameter diam $_{wp}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g is

$$\operatorname{diam}_{wp}(\mathcal{M}_g) = \sup_{X \neq Y \in \mathcal{M}_g} \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X, Y).$$

白マシュアシュアン

The Weil-Petersson diameter $\operatorname{diam}_{wp}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g is

$$\mathsf{diam}_{wp}(\mathcal{M}_g) = \sup_{X \neq Y \in \mathcal{M}_g} \mathsf{dist}_{wp}(X,Y).$$

Theorem (Cavendish-Parlier 2012) For $g \ge 2$, $\sqrt{g} \prec \operatorname{diam}_{wp}(\mathcal{M}_g) \prec \sqrt{g} \ln g$.

A B K A B K

The Weil-Petersson diameter $\operatorname{diam}_{wp}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g is

$$\mathsf{diam}_{wp}(\mathcal{M}_g) = \sup_{X \neq Y \in \mathcal{M}_g} \mathsf{dist}_{wp}(X, Y).$$

Theorem (Cavendish-Parlier 2012)
For
$$g \ge 2$$
,
 $\sqrt{g} \prec \operatorname{diam}_{wp}(\mathcal{M}_g) \prec \sqrt{g} \ln g$.

Open questions:

1. diam_{wp}(\mathcal{M}_g) $\asymp \sqrt{g} \ln g$?

The Weil-Petersson diameter $\operatorname{diam}_{wp}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g is

$$\operatorname{diam}_{wp}(\mathcal{M}_g) = \sup_{X \neq Y \in \mathcal{M}_g} \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X, Y).$$

Theorem (Cavendish-Parlier 2012)
For
$$g \ge 2$$
,
 $\sqrt{g} \prec \operatorname{diam}_{wp}(\mathcal{M}_g) \prec \sqrt{g} \ln g$.

Open questions:

- 1. diam_{wp}(\mathfrak{M}_g) $\asymp \sqrt{g} \ln g$?
- 2. Does $\lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{sys(g)}{\ln g}$ exist?

.

Recall

Theorem (W. 2020) Fix a point $p \in S_g$ ($g \ge 2$). Then for any $X, Y \in T_g$, $\left|\sqrt{\ln j_X(p)} - \sqrt{\ln j_Y(p)}\right| \le 0.3884 \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X, Y)$

where dist_{wp} is the Weil-Petersson distance.

Let $c : [0, T_0] \to \mathfrak{T}_g$ be a Weil-Petersson geodesic of arc-length parameter where $T_0 > 0$ is a fixed constant, and let $\sigma : [0, 2 \ln j_{c(t_0)}(p)] \to c(t_0)$ be a shortest geodesic loop based at p.

Now we outline the proof as the following several steps.

Step-1: (Rupflin-Topping 2018) Show that the function $\operatorname{Inj}_{(\bullet)}(p) : \mathfrak{T}_g \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ is *locally Lipschitz* along Weil-Petersson geodesics.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Let $c : [0, T_0] \to \mathfrak{T}_g$ be a Weil-Petersson geodesic of arc-length parameter where $T_0 > 0$ is a fixed constant, and let $\sigma : [0, 2 \ln j_{c(t_0)}(p)] \to c(t_0)$ be a shortest geodesic loop based at p.

Now we outline the proof as the following several steps.

Step-1: (Rupflin-Topping 2018) Show that the function $\operatorname{Inj}_{(\bullet)}(p) : \mathfrak{T}_g \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ is *locally Lipschitz* along Weil-Petersson geodesics. If it is differentiable at $t = t_0 \in (0, T_0)$, then

$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Inj}_{c(t)}(p)\right|_{t=t_0}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}\int_0^{2\operatorname{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(p)} \left|c'(t_0)(\sigma(s))\right| ds.$$

We divide Step-2 into two cases.

Step-2-0: If $\text{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(p) \leq \operatorname{arcsinh}(1)$, it is *not* hard to see that for any $s \in [0, 2 \ln j_{c(t_0)}(p)]$,

$$\left(\sqrt{2}-1
ight) \mathsf{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(p) \leq \mathsf{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(\sigma(s)) \leq \mathsf{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(p) \leq \mathit{arcsinh}(1).$$

.

We divide Step-2 into two cases.

Step-2-0: If $\text{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(p) \leq \operatorname{arcsinh}(1)$, it is *not* hard to see that for any $s \in [0, 2 \ln j_{c(t_0)}(p)]$,

$$\left(\sqrt{2}-1
ight) \mathsf{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(p) \leq \mathsf{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(\sigma(s)) \leq \mathsf{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(p) \leq \mathit{arcsinh}(1).$$

Proposition (Bridgeman-W. 2019)

Let X be a closed hyperbolic surface and μ be a harmonic Beltrami differential on X. Then for any $p \in X$ with $lnj_X(p) \leq arcsinh(1)$,

$$|\mu(p)|^2 \leq rac{\int_X |\mu(z)|^2 \,\mathrm{dArea}(z)}{\ln j_X(p)}$$

We divide Step-2 into two cases.

Step-2-0: If $\text{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(p) \leq \operatorname{arcsinh}(1)$, it is *not* hard to see that for any $s \in [0, 2 \ln j_{c(t_0)}(p)]$,

$$\left(\sqrt{2}-1
ight) \mathsf{lnj}_{c(t_0)}(p) \leq \mathsf{lnj}_{c(t_0)}(\sigma(s)) \leq \mathsf{lnj}_{c(t_0)}(p) \leq \mathit{arcsinh}(1).$$

Proposition (Bridgeman-W. 2019)

Let X be a closed hyperbolic surface and μ be a harmonic Beltrami differential on X. Then for any $p \in X$ with $lnj_X(p) \leq arcsinh(1)$,

$$|\mu(p)|^2 \leq rac{\int_X |\mu(z)|^2 \, \mathrm{dArea}(z)}{\operatorname{Inj}_X(p)}$$

By applying the Proposition above and Step-1 we get

$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_{c(t)}(p)}\right|_{t=t_0}\right| \leq 0.3884.$$

Step-2-1: If $\operatorname{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(p) > \operatorname{arcsinh}(1)$, it is not hard to see that $\min_{s \in [0,2 \operatorname{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(p)]} \operatorname{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(\sigma(s)) \ge 0.2407.$

向下 イヨト イヨト

Э

Step-2-1: If $\operatorname{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(p) > \operatorname{arcsinh}(1)$, it is not hard to see that $\min_{s \in [0,2 \ln j_{c(t_0)}(p)]} \ln j_{c(t_0)}(\sigma(s)) \ge 0.2407.$

Proposition (Teo 2009)

Let X be a closed hyperbolic surface and μ be a harmonic Beltrami differential on X. Then for any $p \in X$,

$$|\mu(p)|^2 \leq C(r) \int_{\mathcal{B}(p;r)} |\mu(z)|^2 \,\mathrm{dArea}(z), \,\,orall \,\, 0 < r \leq \mathrm{Inj}_X(p)$$

where the constant $C(\bullet)$ is a function of \bullet .

Step-2-1: If $\operatorname{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(p) > \operatorname{arcsinh}(1)$, it is not hard to see that $\min_{s \in [0,2 \operatorname{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(p)]} \operatorname{Inj}_{c(t_0)}(\sigma(s)) \ge 0.2407.$

Proposition (Teo 2009)

Let X be a closed hyperbolic surface and μ be a harmonic Beltrami differential on X. Then for any $p \in X$,

$$|\mu(p)|^2 \leq C(r) \int_{\mathcal{B}(p;r)} |\mu(z)|^2 \,\mathrm{dArea}(z), \,\,orall \,\, 0 < r \leq \mathrm{Inj}_X(p)$$

where the constant $C(\bullet)$ is a function of \bullet .

By applying the Proposition above, Step-1 and a Necklace type inequality we get

$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_{c(t)}(p)}\right|_{t=t_0} \le 0.3454.$$

Proposition (Necklace type inequality)

Let X be a hyperbolic surface. For any $p \in X$ we let $\sigma : [0, 2 \ln j_X(p)] \rightarrow X$ be a shortest nontrivial geodesic loop based at p. Assume that

$$\inf_{s \in [0,2 \ln \mathbf{j}_X(p)]} \ln \mathbf{j}_X(\sigma(s)) \geq 2\varepsilon_0$$

for some uniform constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Then for any function $f \ge 0$ on X, we have

$$\int_0^{2 \operatorname{Inj}_X(p)} \left(\int_{B(\sigma(s);\varepsilon_0)} f \, \mathrm{dArea} \right) ds \leq 12 \varepsilon_0 \int_{\mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon_0}(\sigma)} f \, \mathrm{dArea} \, .$$

Where $B(\sigma(s); \varepsilon_0) = \{q \in X; \text{ dist}(q, \sigma(s)) < \varepsilon_0\}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_0}(\sigma)$ is the ε_0 -neighbourhood of σ , i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\varepsilon_0}(\sigma) = \{z \in X; \ \mathsf{dist}\left(x, \sigma([0, 2 \operatorname{Inj}_X(p)])\right) < \varepsilon_0\}$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

Step-3 (Endgame): We apply the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus to get

$$|\sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_X(p)} - \sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_Y(p)}|$$

Step-3 (Endgame): We apply the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus to get

$$\left|\sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_{X}(p)} - \sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_{Y}(p)}\right| = \left|\int_{0}^{\operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X,Y)} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_{c(t)}(p)}\right) dt\right|$$

回とくほとくほと

臣

Step-3 (Endgame): We apply the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus to get

$$\begin{aligned} |\sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_{X}(p)} - \sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_{Y}(p)}| &= \left| \int_{0}^{\operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X,Y)} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_{c(t)}(p)} \right) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X,Y)} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_{c(t)}(p)} \right) \right| dt \end{aligned}$$

白 ト イヨト イヨト
Step-3 (Endgame): We apply the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus to get

$$\begin{aligned} |\sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_{X}(p)} - \sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_{Y}(p)}| &= \left| \int_{0}^{\operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X,Y)} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_{c(t)}(p)} \right) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X,Y)} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sqrt{\operatorname{Inj}_{c(t)}(p)} \right) \right| dt \\ &\leq 0.3884 \operatorname{dist}_{wp}(X,Y) \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

白マ イヨマ イヨマ

臣

Thank you!

向下 イヨト イヨト