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## The DES-Y3 BAO sample

The DES-Y3 BAO sample is a photometric red galaxy sample selected using the griz bands and a photometric redshift estimate. It is built looking for a good compromise between photo-z accuracy and number density. It is selected with the cuts

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
1.7<i-z+2(r-i) & \text { (color selection) } \\
17.5<i<19+3 z_{p h} & \text { (flux selection) },  \tag{1}\\
0.6<z_{p h}<1.1 & \text { (photo-z range) }
\end{array}
$$

- The DES-Y3 BAO sample is divided in 5 redshift bins with bounds $[0.6,0.7,0.8,0.9,1.0$, 1.1].
- We will focus on how the BAO is measured from the Angular Correlation Function (ACF).
- Since the ACF of the DES-Y3 data is still blinded, we will measure the BAO in a set of 1000 lognormal mocks (simulated galaxy catalogs) instead.


## Methodology

(1) Generate the 1000 lognormal mocks. Firstly, we have to fix an input cosmology (in this case, MICE cosmology) and the redshift distributions of the galaxies (we will use the ones of the DES-Y3 BAO sample).
(2) Calculate the ACFs of each mock and their full covariance matrix, $(\operatorname{cov})_{\theta_{i}, \theta_{j}}^{z_{b i n_{1}}, z_{b i n_{2}}}$.
(3) Obtain the BAO scale of each mock by minimizing the $\chi^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{\text {mock }}^{2}(\vec{p})=\sum_{z_{\text {bin }_{1,2}}} & \sum_{i, j}\left[\omega_{\text {mock }}^{z_{\text {bin }}}\left(\theta_{i}\right)-\omega_{\text {model }}^{z_{\text {bin }}}\left(\theta_{i} ; \vec{p}^{z_{\text {bin }_{1}}}\right)\right]\left(\operatorname{cov}^{-1}\right)_{\theta_{i}, \theta_{j}}^{z_{b_{j}}, z_{b_{b i i_{2}}}} \\
& \times\left[\omega_{\text {mock }}^{z_{\text {bin }_{2}}}\left(\theta_{j}\right)-\omega_{\text {model }}^{z_{\text {bin }}}\left(\theta_{j} ; \vec{p}^{z_{b_{i i_{2}}}}\right)\right], \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\text {model }}^{z_{\text {bin }}}\left(\theta, \vec{p}^{z_{b i n}}\right)=A^{z_{b i n}} \omega_{\text {template }}^{z_{\text {bin }}}(\alpha \cdot \theta)+B^{z_{b i n}}+\frac{C^{z_{b i n}}}{\theta}+\frac{D^{z_{b i n}}}{\theta^{2}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\omega_{\text {template }}^{z_{\text {bin }}}(\theta)$ is the theoretical ACF computed for a given cosmology. The BAO scale is given in terms of the shift $\alpha$ with respect to the template cosmology, $\alpha=\theta_{B A O}^{\text {template }} / \theta_{B A O}^{\text {mock }}$.
(9) Calculate the mean and the standard deviation of the $1000 \alpha$ values. We will use two different template cosmologies in order to compare the results.

## Results(I)

## Correlation functions calculated from the mocks

ACFs of the 1000 mocks



Template ACFs: two different cosmologies

|  | $\Omega_{b}$ | $\Omega_{c}$ | $h$ | $A_{s}$ or $\sigma_{8}$ | $n_{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MICE cosm. | 0.044 | 0.206 | 0.7 | $\sigma_{8}=0.8$ | 0.95 |
| Planck cosm. | 0.0494 | 0.2656 | 0.6727 | $A_{s}=2.101 \cdot 10^{-9}$ | 0.9649 |

## Results(II)

## Fit results

1) Using the MICE template

2) Using the Planck template


Summary of the results

|  | MICE t. | Planck t. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\langle\alpha\rangle$ | 1.0040 | 0.9572 |
| $\operatorname{std}(\alpha) /\langle\alpha\rangle$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.74 \%$ |
| $\alpha_{\text {th }}\left(z_{\text {eff }}\right)$ | 1 | 0.9528 |

## Conclusions

- We have obtained that $\operatorname{std}(\alpha) /\langle\alpha\rangle \sim 2 \%$. Also, $\langle\alpha\rangle / \alpha_{t h} \approx 1.004$.
- The results do not depend on the cosmology of the template used to do the fits. This allows us to use this method with real data (for which we don't know the exact cosmology).
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