Suppose that a one-parameter family of smooth immersions $\gamma: \mathbb{R}^{1} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \gamma(z, t)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \gamma(z, t) \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$ and $t \in[0, T)$, where $s$ is the arc length parameter. Then, we call the evolution of a complete immersed curve $\Gamma_{t}:=\gamma\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}, t\right)$ a curve shortening flow.

Notice that if $\gamma$ is a periodic function in $\mathbb{R}$, namely $\gamma(z+L, t)=\gamma(z, t)$, then each image $\Gamma_{t}$ is a closed curve. Conversely, if the initial image $\Gamma_{0}$ is a closed curve, then we can find a $L$-periodic immersion $\gamma(\cdot, 0)$. Then, by the uniqueness of solution to parabolic PDEs, we can show that $\gamma(0, t)$ remains as a $L$-periodic function, but we won't prove it in this note. Hence, when we study closed curve shortening flow, we consider $\gamma: \mathbb{S}^{1} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

In addition, in this winter school, we concentrate on the flows embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, namely each $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ is embedding and $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}=\mathbb{R}^{2}$. If one gets used to the maximum principle through this winter school, then it is very easy to show that a closed curve embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ remains embedded under the curve shortening flow.

Now, we present (0.1) as a standard PDE. For each $t \in[0, T)$, the arc length parameter $s$ is defined to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d s}{d z}=\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \gamma(z, t)\right| \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \gamma=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \gamma=\left(\frac{d z}{d s}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} \gamma+\frac{d^{2} z}{d s^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \gamma=\left|\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial z}\right|^{-2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} \gamma-\left\langle\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^{2} \gamma}{\partial z^{2}}\right\rangle\left|\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial z}\right|^{-4} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \gamma . \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Namely, $\gamma(z, t)$ is a vector-valued solution to parabolic type PDEs. Thus, we can employ powerful tools in the theory of differential equations.

Theorem 0.1 (weak maximum principle). Suppose that a smooth function $u: \mathbb{R} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $u(x+L, t)=u(x, t)=0$ for some $L>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t} \leq a u_{x x}+b u_{x} \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbb{R} \times[0, T)$, where $a, b$ are smooth functions such that $a \geq 0$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \leq \max _{x \in \mathbb{R}} u(x, 0) \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0, T)$.
Proof. For each $\varepsilon>0$, we define $u^{\varepsilon}=u-\varepsilon t$ and observe

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}^{\varepsilon}<u_{t} \leq a u_{x x}+b u_{x}=a u_{x x}^{\varepsilon}+b u_{x}^{\varepsilon} \tag{0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\varepsilon}(x, t) \leq M:=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}} u(x, 0)=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}} u^{\varepsilon}(x, 0) \tag{0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in $\mathbb{R} \times[0, T)$. If the claim is true, then passing $\varepsilon$ to zero completes the proof.
Now, towards a contradiction, we suppose that $\sup _{Q} u^{\varepsilon}>M$, where $Q:=\mathbb{R} \times[0, T)$. Then, given $\delta \in\left(0, M-\sup _{Q} u^{\varepsilon}\right)$ there exists some space-time point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ such that $u^{\varepsilon}<M+\delta$
holds for $\mathbb{R} \times\left[0, t_{0}\right)$ and $u^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=M+\delta$. Since $u^{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)$ attains its maximum at $x_{0}$, we have $u_{x x}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq 0$ and $u_{x}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore, combining ( 0.6 ) and $a \geq 0$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)<0 \tag{0.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ satisfies $u_{t}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)-u_{t}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}, t\right)>0$, which contradicts

$$
\begin{equation*}
0>u_{t}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}} \frac{u_{t}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)-u_{t}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}, t\right)}{t_{0}-t} \geq 0 \tag{0.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the maximum principle, we can show an exercise problem.
Exercise 1. Let $\Gamma_{t}$ be a smooth closed curve shortening flow. Then, it develops a singularity at a finite time $T \leq \frac{1}{2} \max _{x \in \Gamma_{0}}|x|^{2}$.

Proof. We recall $\gamma: \mathbb{S}^{1} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfying (0.1) and $\Gamma_{t}=\gamma\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}, t\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\gamma|^{2}=2\left\langle\gamma, \gamma_{t}\right\rangle=2\left\langle\gamma, \gamma_{s s}\right\rangle=\frac{\partial}{\partial s^{2}}|\gamma|^{2}-2\left|\gamma_{s}\right|^{2} . \tag{0.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\gamma_{s}\right|=1$ by definition of $s$, the smooth function $u(z, t):=|\gamma(z, t)|^{2}+2 t$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=u_{s s}=\left|\gamma_{z}\right|^{-2} u_{z z}-\left\langle\gamma_{z}, \gamma_{z z}\right\rangle\left|\gamma_{z}\right|^{-3} u_{z} \tag{0.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in (0.3). In addition, $u(z, t)=u(z+L, t)$ for some $L>0$. Hence, by the maximum principle, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\gamma|^{2}+2 t=u \leq \max u(\cdot, 0)=\max |\gamma(\cdot, 0)|^{2}=\max _{x \in \Gamma_{0}}|x|^{2}=: r^{2} . \tag{0.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we obtain $|\gamma(\cdot, t)| \leq r^{2}-2 t$ for $t \geq 0$, namely $\Gamma_{t}$ is contained in the ball of radius $\sqrt{r^{2}-2 t}$. Hence, $\Gamma_{t}$ is squeezed in the shrinking ball, which disappears at the time $\frac{1}{2} r^{2}$, namely the singular time satisfies $T \leq \frac{1}{2} r^{2}$.

In the most cases, we only use the weak maximum principle, but we provide the strong maximum principle without proofs for the people who are interested in.
Theorem 0.2 (strong maximum principle). Suppose that a smooth function $u: \mathbb{R} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $u(x+L, t)=u(x, t)=0$ for some $L>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t} \leq a u_{x x}+b u_{x} \tag{0.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbb{R} \times[0, T)$, where $a, b$ are smooth functions such that $a>0$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)<\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}} u(x, 0) \tag{0.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times(0, T)$, unless $u$ is a constant function.
Proof. See Partial Differential Equations by Evans.
Also, we give another variations of the weak maximum principle. The readers are encourages to prove them as exercises.

Exercise 2 (comparison principle). Suppose that L-periodic smooth functions u,v: $\mathbb{R} \times[0, T) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t} \leq a u_{x x}+b u_{x}, \quad v_{t} \geq a v_{x x}+v_{x} \tag{0.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbb{R} \times[0, T)$, where $a, b$ are smooth functions such that $a \geq 0$. Moreover $u(x, 0) \leq v(x, 0)$ holds in $\mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \leq v(x, t) \tag{0.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0, T)$.

Exercise 3 (compactly supported subsolution). Suppose that a smooth function $u: \mathbb{R} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t} \leq a u_{x x}+b u_{x} \tag{0.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbb{R} \times[0, T)$, where $a, b$ are smooth functions such that $a \geq 0$. Moreover, $\{x: u(x, t) \neq 0\}$ is a bounded subset in $\mathbb{R}$ for every $t \in[0, T)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \leq \max _{x \in \mathbb{R}} u(x, 0) \tag{0.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0, T)$.
We also provide some basic estimates for parabolic PDEs. We recall the Holder norm $C^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{C^{\alpha}(Q)}=\|u\|_{C^{0}(Q)}+\sup \left\{\frac{|u(X)-u(Y)|}{d(X, Y)^{\alpha}}: X, Y \in Q, d(X, Y) \leq 1\right\} \tag{0.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the parabolic distance given by $d(X, Y)^{2}=|x-y|^{2}+|t-s|$ for $X=(x, t), Y=(y, s)$. In addition, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{C^{k, \alpha}(Q)}=\sum_{l=0}^{k}\|u\|_{C^{l}(Q)}+\sup \left\{\frac{\left|D^{k} u(X)-D^{k} u(Y)\right|}{d(X, Y)^{\alpha}}: X, Y \in Q, d(X, Y) \leq 1\right\} . \tag{0.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 0.3 (interior $L^{\infty}$ estimates). Suppose that $u: \mathbb{R} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth L-periodic solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=a u_{x x}+b u_{x}+c u \tag{0.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c$ are smooth L-periodic functions satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{-1} \leq a \leq \Lambda, \quad|b|,|c| \leq \Lambda \tag{0.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\Lambda>0$. Then, for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists some constant $C$ only depending on $L, \Lambda, \varepsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \sup _{s \in[t-\varepsilon, t]}\|u(\cdot, s)\|_{L^{2}([0, L])} \tag{0.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $t \in[\varepsilon, T)$.
Proof. See Second Order Parabolic Differential Equations by Lieberman.

Theorem 0.4 (interior Schauder estimates). Suppose that $u: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $Q=\mathbb{R} \times[0, T)$, is a smooth L-periodic solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=a u_{x x}+b u_{x}+c u \tag{0.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c$ are smooth L-periodic functions satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{-1} \leq a, \quad\|a\|_{C^{\alpha}(Q)},\|b\|_{C^{\alpha}(Q)},\|c\|_{C^{\alpha}(Q)} \leq \Lambda, \tag{0.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\Lambda>0$. Then, for each $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $\varepsilon>0$ there exists some constant $C$ only depending on $L, \Lambda, \varepsilon, \alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{2, \alpha}(Q)} \leq C \sup _{s \in[t-\varepsilon, t]}\|u(\cdot, s)\|_{L^{\infty}([0, L])} \tag{0.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $t \in[\varepsilon, T)$.
Proof. See Second Order Parabolic Differential Equations by Lieberman.
We also recall the Fourier series.
Theorem 0.5 (Fourier series). Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic function such that $f \in L^{2}[-\pi, \pi]$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos (n x)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} \sin (n x) \tag{0.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cos (n x) d x, \quad b_{n}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \sin (n x) d x \tag{0.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\|f\|_{L^{2}[-\pi, \pi]}^{2}=\frac{\pi}{2} a_{0}^{2}+\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}\right)$.
Furthermore, we recall some basic properties of smooth curves in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Let $\gamma$ be a smooth immersion of the smooth curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then, the Frenet-Serret formulas yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{t}=\frac{d}{d s} \gamma, \quad \frac{d}{d s} \mathbf{t}=\kappa \mathbf{n}, \quad \frac{d}{d s} \mathbf{n}=-\kappa \mathbf{t}, \quad|\mathbf{n}|=|\mathbf{t}|=1, \tag{0.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is the arc length parameter, $\mathbf{t}$ is the tangent vector, $\mathbf{n}$ is a unit normal vector, $\kappa$ is the curvature. Indeed, one can easily obtain the formulas by differentiating $|\mathbf{n}|^{2}=1,|\mathbf{t}|^{2}=1$, $\langle\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{t}\rangle=0$.

If a smooth embedded curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a boundary of a convex set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, then the curvature is always positive $\kappa>0$ and therefore $\mathbf{n}:=\kappa^{-1} \frac{d}{d s} \mathbf{t}$ is the unit inward pointing normal vector. Moreover, given $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists at most one point $x \in \Gamma$ such that $\mathbf{n}=(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$. Notice that if $\Gamma$ is closed then there exists exactly one such point Therefore, we can reparameterize the angle $\theta$, namely we can let $\gamma(\theta)$ denote the point in $\Gamma$ such that $\mathbf{n}=(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$. Then, we define a support function ${ }^{1} S(\theta)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\theta)=\langle\gamma(\theta),(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)\rangle . \tag{0.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Thus, we can directly calculate $S_{\theta}, S_{\theta \theta}$ so that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(\theta)=S(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)+S_{\theta}(-\sin \theta, \cos \theta) \tag{0.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\frac{1}{S_{\theta \theta}+S} . \tag{0.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the support function of a convex curve must satisfy $S_{\theta \theta}+S>0$. One may consider the following exercises for fun, although it is not required to know the proofs.
Exercise 4. Given a convex closed curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, inf $S>0$ holds if and only if $\Gamma$ encloses the origin.
Exercise 5. Suppose that $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic smooth function satisfying $u_{\theta \theta}+u>0$. Then, there exist a closed convex curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ whose support function is $u$.

Hint. We define half planes $\mathcal{H}_{\theta}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\langle x,(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)\rangle \leq u(\theta)\right\}$ and then define a set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\cap_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta} . \tag{0.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we can prove that $\Omega$ is a bounded convex set with the smooth boundary $\Gamma=\partial \Omega$, and its support function is $u$.

Finally, one would be curious if the flow is invariant under parametrizations. We provide a well-known theorem for the independence of parametrizations.
Theorem 0.6 (reparametrization). Suppose that a smooth family of immersions $\hat{\gamma}: \mathbb{R}^{1} \times[0, T) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\gamma}(z, t)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \hat{\gamma}(z, t)+V(z, t) \hat{\mathbf{t}}(z, t), \tag{0.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{t}}(z, t)=\left|\hat{\gamma}_{z}\right|^{-1} \hat{\gamma}_{z}$ and $V$ is a smooth function. Then, there is a smooth family of diffeomorphisms $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{1} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ such that $\gamma(\cdot, t)=\hat{\gamma}(\cdot, t) \circ \varphi(\cdot, t)$ satisfies 0.1. In particular, each $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ is an immersions.

Proof. Proposition 1.3.4 in Lecture Notes on Mean Curvature Flow by Mantegazza.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ One can see the geometric meaning of the support function in the first lecture of prof. Kang.

