# Towards a Better Understanding of Environmental Effects in Groups and Clusters using Phase-space



#### Jelly Fish Locations in Projected Phase-Space





Tidal Mass loss of stars (pink) from galaxy in harassment simulation (bubbles are cluster & clustermembers

(From Smith et al. 2015)



Rory Smith 8<sup>t</sup>

8<sup>th</sup> KIAS Workshop on Cosmology and Structure Formation, 2018

## Simulation details: YZICS



Hoseung Choi, Yonsei University PhD supervisor: Sukyoung Yi



## Zoomed cosmological simulations of groups and cluster

- 15 systems in total (Mass range 5e13-1e15 M<sub>sol</sub>)
- Simulation resolution:
  - → Spatial: 760 pc
  - $\rightarrow$  Min halo mass: ~1e9 M<sub>sol</sub>
- Good time resolution (70 Myr)
- Baryonic physics: Hydrodynamical gas, star formation, stellar feedback, BH formation, AGN feedback, etc

# Galaxy properties function of mass and environment



To see effects of environment, need to first control for galaxy mass

# Galaxy properties function of mass and environment



To see effects of environment, need to first control for galaxy mass

... but environmental effects don't act instantly → **Infall time** is another key parameter.

## Phase-space: What is a phase-space diagram?



## Phase-space diagrams to determine infall times



Separate quite neatly....but these are 3D phase-space diagrams!

from Rhee, Smith et al. 2017

## **Projection effects**



3D phase space diagram  $\rightarrow$  2D 'projected' phase space diagram

## Probability of finding a particular infall time



Gives a statistical result – so works best with many galaxies..... and even better with many clusters

(Right column) **Standard deviation** (arising from clustercluster variations, line-of-sight, etc)

Rhee, Smith et al. 2017

## Tidal Mass loss in Phase-Space



Clear correlation between infall time and DM mass loss within the cluster

#### Infall time and Tidal Mass Loss linked in Projected Phase-Space:



## Satellite Population by Phase-Space Region



Breakdown of galaxy properties (infall time & halo mass-loss) in different regions in phase-space from Rhee, Smith et al. 2017

## Ram Pressure Stripping



Virgo cluster in X-rays, ROSAT



Ram pressure simulation, Quilis 2000

The motion of a galaxy through the intra-cluster medium causes a drag force on it's HI gas disk

## Ram pressure stripped galaxies in phase-space Semi-analytical approach

3.0

(%) 

Probability of being stripped



## Ram Pressure Stripping in Phase-Space The Abell 963 Cluster



Jaffe, Smith et al. 2015

## Application to the Virgo Cluster:







- Collaboration with: Aeree Chung (left) & Hyein Yoon (right)
- VIVA survey: Deep VLA imaging of the HI gas disks of Virgo cluster spirals
- Galaxies categorised by Hyein based on HI morphology:
  (i) before ram pressure
  (ii) active ram pressure
  (iii) past ram pressure







#### 'Before Ram pressure' Sample Extended gas rich disks in Phase-space



Yoon, Chung, Smith et al. 2017



#### 'After Ram Pressure' in Phase-space: Heavily Truncated Gas Disks



Yoon, Chung, Smith et al. 2017





2D distribution of Jelly's differs from that of rest of galaxies -

Jaffe et al. 2018

# Mean properties in Phase-space zones from simulations:

For application to large samples of clusters and groups



Anna Pasquali, Heidelberg

#### Mean infall time in phase-space: Time since infall vs zone: 3 2.5 Shading indicates guartiles Mean Tinf (Gyr) Lookback Infall Tme (Gyr) 2 0/1.5 <u>0</u>|1.5 0.5 n 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0 0 2 3 6 7 8 R<sub>proj</sub>/R<sub>vir</sub> Zone

Pasquali, Smith et al. 2018 (submitted)

# Mean properties in Phase-space zones from simulations:

For application to large samples of clusters and groups



Anna Pasquali, Heidelberg

#### Mean infall time in phase-space:



Time since infall vs zone:

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

Pasquali, Smith et al. 2018 (submitted)

## SDSS Galaxy properties across Phase-Space:

## Applied to Wang et al. 2014 group catalogue:

- Host masses from giant galaxies to massive clusters: log(Mhost)=12 -15
- Satellites from dwarfs to giant galaxies: log(M\*)=9-12.5

## Galaxy properties from Gallazzi 2018 catologue:

- PDFs derived from SDSS DR7 spectra
- Luminosity weighted age, Age,
- Specific star formation rate, sSFR
- Stellar metallicity, Z/Zsol
- Alpha abundances,  $\alpha$ /Fe

![](_page_20_Figure_10.jpeg)

## Mean properties in Phase-space zones:

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

Anna Pasquali, Heidelberg

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

Luminosity weighted age:

Pasquali, Smith et al. 2018 (submitted)

## Mean properties in Phase-space zones:

Specific Star Formation Rate (sSFR):

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Anna Pasquali, Heidelberg

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

Pasquali, Smith et al. 2018 (submitted)

## Metallicities by infall time:

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Pasquali, Smith et al. 2018 (submitted)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Constrain star formation history and stellar mass growth evolution
- Details in: Pacifici et al. 2016

• Mass growth history parameterised:  $\rightarrow$  t10, t50, t90 (lookback times when 10, 50 and 90% of final stellar mass was assembled)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

Camilla Pacifici NASA Goddard

## Stellar Mass growth History

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Summary

- Location in phase-space provides information on infalltime, tidal mass loss & ram pressure stripping
- Best results are statistical: many clusters, many galaxies, many lines-of-sight
- First results from phase-space very promising
  - → results make sense

 $\rightarrow$  clear changes in galaxy properties when varying infall time distribution (at fixed mass and environment)

#### • Extend approach to:

→ Other galaxy properties (shapes, morphology, colour & density profile, LSB features, SFHs
 → Other samples: (higher redshift, groups, deep and/or high resolution imaging, IFU surveys (e.g. GASP, SAMI), etc