

#### **Cosmology from structure**

### in the Dark Energy Survey

**Daniel Gruen**, Einstein Fellow @ Stanford / SLAC on behalf of the DES Collaboration

KIAS Workshop on Cosmology and Structure Formation 2018



# **Cosmology from structure, geometry, and joint probes in the Dark Energy Survey**



**Daniel Gruen**, Einstein Fellow @ Stanford / SLAC on behalf of the DES Collaboration

KIAS Workshop on Cosmology and Structure Formation 2018

# Agenda

- Introduction [thanks to Myungkook!]
- Joint cosmological constraints from DES structure and geometry
- Views of the matter density field for cosmology
  - lensing/galaxy two-point functions
  - matter/galaxy density PDF
  - clusters of galaxies

more information more complex mode

# How to survey Dark Energy

sensitive to growth of structure

redshift space distortions	galaxy clustering gravitational lensing galaxy clusters "structure"
	CMB BAO supernovae GW sirens "geometry"

Q: Is everything we observe consistent with the same parameters in a ΛCDM universe?

#### sensitive to expansion

# How to survey Dark Energy

sensitive to growth of structure

Ly clustering tationalElvin-Poole+2018ngPrat, Sanchez+2018ngGruen+2018, Troxel+201DES 2018aDES 2018aLire"McClintock, Varga+2018DES in prep.
DES 2018b DES out today DES out today LIGO-VIRGO-DES+2018

#### sensitive to expansion

# How to survey Dark Energy

sensitive to growth of structure

redshift space	galaxy clustering gravitational	Elvin-Poole+2018 Prat, Sanchez+2018
distortions	lensing	Gruen+2018, Troxel+2018
	galaxy clusters "structure"	McClintock, Varga+2018 DES in prep.
	СМВ	DES WL+clustering +BAO+SNe out today
	BAO	DES 2018b
	supernovae	DES out today
	GW sirens	LIGO-VIRGO-DES+2018
	"geometry"	

#### sensitive to expansion

Planck CMB temperature z=1100 δ of O(10<sup>-5</sup>)

#### z=0 – δ of O(1)

Credit: Ralf Kaehler, Carter Emmart, Tom Abel, Oliver Hahn / KIPAC

Is the Universe always expanding at the rate predicted by  $\Lambda$ CDM, over >20 e-foldings in volume since the CMB?

Are the structures found in the evolved Universe explained by primordial fluctuations growing in ΛCDM?

## **The Dark Energy Survey**

- 5000 sq. deg. survey in grizY from Blanco @ CTIO, 10 exposures, 5.5 years, >400 scientists
- Primary goal: dark energy equation of state
- Probes: Large scale structure, Supernovae, Cluster counts, Gravitational lensing
- Status:
  - Y1 (1500 sq. deg, 40% depth): key results published / in internal review https://des.ncsa.illinois.edu/releases/y1a1
  - Y3 (5000 sq. deg, 50% depth): data processed, vetting catalogs https://des.ncsa.illinois.edu/releases/dr1
  - Y5: data taking finished (90% depth)
  - Y6: homogeneous survey at planned depth



### **Cosmology from two-point correlations**

mmmm

#### Are lensing measurements of structure low?



- recent studies have claimed 2-3 $\sigma$  offset from Planck CMB in  $\Omega_m$ - $\sigma_8$ but see Troxel&Krause, DG+ 1804.10663
- interpretations differ statistical fluke, systematics, crack in ΛCDM?



# Key result: Consistency of late structure with Planck in ΛCDM

- DES and Planck constrain matter density and S<sub>8</sub> with equal strength
- Difference in central values
  1-2σ in the same direction as other lensing results
- Bayes Factor shows
  no evidence for inconsistency
- Combination with CMB/BAO/SNe yields consistent, tightest constraints





Office of

Science

GOBIERNO DE ESPANA

**Finep** 

Collaborating institutions:

26

**Funded by:** 



Ā

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich deral Institute of Technology Zurich

0

### Released today: Cosmology from DES Supernovae 207 SNe Ia, w/ spectroscopic confirmation from three years of DES

 $w = -0.978 \pm 0.059$  w/ Planck, 1.4x the uncertainty of Pantheon



#### Released today: Cosmology from DES Supernovae 207 SNe Ia, w/ spectroscopic confirmation from three years of DES

 $w = -0.978 \pm 0.059$  w/ Planck, 1.4x the uncertainty of Pantheon



# Released today: Cosmology from DES 2pt+BAO+SNe

First joint constraint from structure + geometry in an imaging survey

**SNe Ia:** 207 DES SNe (no low-z)

Phot. BAO: angular clustering of 1.6m DES Y1 galaxies at z=0.6-1

DES 3x2pt:

DES Y1 galaxy/shear 2pt functions



# Released today: Cosmology from DES 2pt+BAO+SNe

First joint constraint from structure + geometry in an imaging survey



# Released today: Cosmology from DES 2pt+BAO+SNe

First joint constraint from structure + geometry in an imaging survey





Non-Gaussian properties of structure at least double the cosmological information

They also at least double the complexity of modeling and simulation-based validation

### Measuring the PDF of matter density

# **Cosmology from matter/galaxy PDF: skewness of matter density**

- Lensing + counts in cells jointly constrain:
  - Cosmology
  - Bias + Stochasticity
  - Skewness of matter density:  $S_3 \equiv \frac{\langle \delta^3 \rangle}{\langle \delta^2 \rangle^2}$
- Skewness adds significant constraining power



### **Counting clusters of galaxies**

### **Cosmology with clusters of galaxies**

Simply ...

 Count clusters in an optical / X-ray / SZ survey

- Compare count to predictions as function of cosmology
  - M dependence: S<sub>8</sub>, new physics
  - z dependence: Dark Energy



# **Cosmology with clusters of galaxies: Mass-observable relation is key**

Simply ...

- Count clusters in an optical / X-ray / SZ survey
- Calibrate MOR, including scatter
- Compare count to predictions as function of cosmology
  - M dependence: S<sub>8</sub>, new physics
  - z dependence: Dark Energy



see also DG+2014 for first lensing calibration of Planck SZ MOR

# Mass-observable relation: Weak lensing is key for mean mass

- Large area lensing surveys are now by far the best calibrator of mean mass of cluster samples
- Uncertainties are now limited by modeling – we need (hydro) simulations



Source of systematic	SV Amplitude uncertainty	Y1 Amplitude Uncertainty
Shear measurement	4%	1.7%
Photometric redshifts	3%	2.6%
Modeling systematics	2%	0.73%
Cluster triaxiality	2%	2.0%
Line-of-sight projections	2%	2.0%
Membership dilution + miscentering	$\leqslant 1\%$	0.78%
Total Systematics	6.1%	4.3%
Total Statistical	9.4%	2.4%
Total	11.2%	5.0%

## Mass-observable relation: We are limited by scatter prior

- greatly improved model for scatter due to projection effects [Costanzi&Rozo+2018a]
- <u>intrinsic</u> scatter is a free parameter
- prior motivated by dedicated&archival X-ray and SZ data
- daily telecons, unblinding this week
- DES Y1 clusters will significantly add to S<sub>8</sub> issue
   we need to get this right



see also Costanzi&Rozo+2018ab, McClintock&Varga, DG+2018, DG+2018b, Zhang+2018, Farahi+in prep., von der Linden&Mantz+in prep.

### Summary

 The Dark Energy Survey tests cosmology competitively, with lensing-empowered measurements of structure.

- Consistency with Planck CMB, but intriguingly low S<sub>8</sub>
- Different, almost fully independent, views of Gaussian and non-Gaussian properties of density field.

Geometry and growth of structure are complementary.
 DES is the first photometric survey to join them.
 Both are consistent with the simple yet crazy ACDM.

Stay tuned for 5x more DES and next generation surveys.