## THE UNIVERSE AT EXTREME MAGNIFICATION

### Jose M. Diego

### Instituto de Física de Cantabria (CSIC-UC), Santander, Spain

- P. Kelly, J.M. Diego et al. 2018, Nature Ast., 2, 334
- J.M. Diego, N. Kaiser et al. 2018, ApJ, 857, 25
- M. Oguri, J.M. Diego et al. 2018, PhRevD, 97, 3518
- T. Broadhurst, J.M. Diego, G. Smoot, 2018, arxiv:180205273
- J.M. Diego, 2018, arxiv: 180604668





KIAS, November 7<sup>th</sup>, 2018

## Constraints on the total mass fraction in the form of PBH



Carr et al. 2016

## LIGO suggests the BBH mass function is shallow

If so, then ...

### Where are the massive BBH in our Galaxy?



 $M [M_{\odot}]$ 

Credit: Marie Anne Bizourad & LIGO collaboration

## **ICARUS**

### P. Kelly, J.M. Diego et al 2018, Nature Ast. 2, 334-342





J.M. Diego, N. Kaiser et al. 2018, ApJ, 857, 25

## Interpretation



Diego et al. In prep.

stars in the ICM

### Interpretation



Diego et al. In prep.

# **ICARUS**



Icarus events fully consistent with scenario where microlenses are stars and remnants from the intra-cluster medium.

The amount of allowed compact dark matter (for instance PBH) in the galaxy cluster can not account for more than a few percent of the total mass of the cluster.

More data on the continuous fluctuations of Icarus would set tighter constraints in the abundance of compact dark matter, including PBH.

P. Kelly, J.M. Diego et al 2018, Nature Ast. 2, 334-342

 $Mass = 30 M_{o}$ 

Spera15+PBH (3.3%)



## Can we do better?

Source Plane



More microlenses → More distortion

J.M. Diego, 2018



## Lensing probability at critical curves in the presence of microlenses



Looking for events in regions with relatively low contribution from "stellar" microlenses is more sensitive to the abundance of compact dark matter

J.M. Diego 2018

Lensing probability at critical curves in the presence of microlenses



J.M. Diego, N. Kaiser et al. 2018

# Is LIGO really seeing >20 M<sub>o</sub> black holes?

Broadhurst, Diego & Smoot ArXiv:1802.05273 LIGO-> Massive (M>20) are as common as less massive (M<20)

If so, then ...

Why don't we see the heavy LIGO's masses in our Galaxy and in local galaxies?



 $M [M_{\odot}]$ 

Credit: Marie Anne Bizourad & LIGO collaboration

# LIGO basics Observed $M = M_c(1+z)$ Inferred $h(t) \sim sqrt(\mu)(M^{5/6}/D(z))F(t,M,\theta)$

 $D(z_{est}) = D(z_{true})/sqrt(\mu)$ 

IF an event at high z is magnified by a large factor,  $\mu$ , then if lensing is ignored, it will appear as a much closer event with a larger mass.

Then, **IF** the probability of lensing is reasonable, some of the LIGO events may be actually distant lensed events with smaller masses

Unlike other events (SNe, GRB, etc) all sky is observed at once. The only limitations are dictated by the geometric factor,  $\theta$ .



## Massive halos are more relevant for extreme magnifications



Diego 2018

## Net probability by all halos & at all redshifts for a source at z=2



# A back of the envelope calculation

Probability of having magnification larger than 100 : ~3E-7

Volumen between z=1.9 and 2.1

Rate of events at z=2

: ~ 100 Gpc<sup>3</sup>

: ~ 3E4 /(yr Gpc<sup>3</sup>) Compare with ~10<sup>6</sup> per yr & Gpc<sup>3</sup> for SNe

Total Number of events between z=1.9 and 2.1 : 3E6 per year

Total Number of lensed events between z=1.9 and  $2.1 : \sim 1$  per year

Rate needs to be of order 10<sup>4</sup> for lensing hypothesis to work

We do not know what the actual rate is !

## Model elements: Rates and BBH mass function

Basic assumption is that the rate of events at high-z is high to compensate the small probability for lensing

Mass function is assumed to be "natural", that is, consistent with observational constrains from our Galaxy



### **Strong Evolution + Monochromatic MF**

A simple monochromatic mass function already does a decent job at reproducing the data



#### **Modest Evolution +Broad MF**

Many events should have been detected by LIGO in this regime. Where are they?

### **Strong Evolution + Gauss MF**

A Gaussian mass function goes in the right direction

# OUR BEST MODEL

### **Strong Evolution + Natural MF**

Rate of low and high frequency events in reasonable agreement with observations



Observed mass function should be bi-modal or have a long tail



# CONCLUSIONS

PBH are a candidate for DM which become popular after LIGO detected a relatively abundant of BH with >20  $M_0$ 

Microlensing can set limits on the abundance of BH (including PBH)

LIGO  $\rightarrow$  IF the rate of events at z~2 is in the range of 10^4, the low frequency events observed by LIGO are (likely) gravitationally lensed WG at z>1 with BH masses ~ 10 Msun.

If LIGO-lensing is taking place, should see even more massive events in the future at *troublesome* small distances (are we living in a special Galaxy?) and interference effects.

Events at extreme magnification are more likely than previously thought (specially for bright objects).